## organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

## 4-Carboxypiperidinium 1-carboxycyclobutane-1-carboxylate

# Lusbely M. Belandria,<sup>a</sup> Asiloé J. Mora,<sup>a</sup>\* Gerzon E. Delgado<sup>a</sup> and Alexander Briceño<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratorio de Cristalografía, Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Química, Universidad de Los Andes, Mérida 5101, Venezuela, and <sup>b</sup>Centro de Química, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC), Apartado 21827, Caracas 1020-A, Venezuela

Correspondence e-mail: asiloe@ula.ve

Received 19 September 2011 Accepted 21 December 2011 Online 18 January 2012

The title salt,  $C_6H_{12}NO_2^+ \cdot C_6H_7O_4^-$  or ISO<sup>+</sup> · CBDC<sup>-</sup>, is an ionic ensemble assisted by hydrogen bonds. The amino acid moiety (ISO or piperidine-4-carboxylic acid) has a protonated ring N atom (ISO<sup>+</sup> or 4-carboxypiperidinium), while the semiprotonated acid (CBDC<sup>-</sup> or 1-carboxycyclobutane-1-carboxvlate) has the negative charge residing on one carboxylate group, leaving the other as a neutral -COOH group. The -\*NH<sub>2</sub>- state of protonation allows the formation of a twodimensional crystal packing consisting of zigzag layers stacked along a separated by van der Waals distances. The layers extend in the bc plane connected by a complex network of N- $H \cdots O$  and  $O - H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds. Wave-like ribbons, constructed from ISO<sup>+</sup> and CBDC<sup>-</sup> units and described by the graph-set symbols  $C_3^3(10)$  and  $R_3^3(14)$ , run alternately in opposite directions along c. Intercalated between the ribbons are ISO<sup>+</sup> cations linked by hydrogen bonds, forming rings described by the graph-set symbols  $R_6^6(30)$  and  $R_4^2(18)$ . A detailed analysis of the structures of the individual components and the intricate hydrogen-bond network of the crystal structure is given.

#### Comment

Cocrystallization of organic compounds is serendipitous because the resulting multicomponent crystal could be a cocrystal, in which the different components are neutral species, or a salt, in which the components are charged species (Morissette *et al.*, 2004). Many factors appear to influence the formation of either one or the other. Some strategies for the preparation of these materials are described by Tiekink & Vittal (2006) comprising the following aspects: a preparation method such as recrystallization, growth from the melt, grinding *etc.* (Blagden *et al.*, 2007); solvent choice and solubility (Jones & Davey, 2005); and the chemistry of functional groups and  $pK_a$  (Trask *et al.*, 2005). In addition, some authors consider necessary the identification of hierarchical best donor/best acceptor synthons (Aakeröy et al., 2001), or scrutinize the tendency to maximize noncovalent interactions among components (Aakeröy et al., 2006) and the chargeassisted ensemble of hydrogen bonds around the charged species in salts (Adams et al., 2006). Hence, predictability has been difficult up to now. However, some homomeric or heteromeric synthons such as amide/amide (Aakeröy et al., 2001), carboxylic acid/aminopyrimidine (Shan & Zaworotko, 2008) and amide/pyridine (Lemmerer et al., 2008) have been used to prepare multicomponent crystals. The Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.32, November 2011; CSD; Allen, 2002) shows 220 cocrystals and salts having at least one amino acid component. This makes them reliable supramolecular reagents (Aakeröy et al., 2006; Rogowska et al., 2006) with the bonus of having the amino group in different states of protonation, viz. +NH<sub>3</sub>, +NH<sub>2</sub> and +NH, which provides a way of building three-, two- or one-dimensional supramolecular motifs. In this study, we used isonipecotic acid (piperidine-4-carboxylic acid, ISO), an amino acid that, alone or as a hydrate, shows extended head-to-tail motifs based on N-H···O hydrogen bonds (Delgado *et al.*, 2001; Mora *et al.*, 2005), mixed with cyclobutane-1,1-dicarboxylic acid (CBDC) (Santarsiero, 1990), in order to prepare the 1:1 multicomponent crystal structure ISO<sup>+</sup>·CBDC<sup>-</sup>, (I).



Salt (I) is an ionic ensemble assisted by hydrogen bonds. The asymmetric unit (Fig. 1) consists of one ISO<sup>+</sup> cation with a positive charge residing on atom N1 and a CBDC<sup>-</sup> anion acting as a semicarboxylate ion, *i.e.* 1-carboxycyclobutane-1-carboxylate [for the carboxyl group, the C7–O3 and C7–O4 bond lengths are 1.218 (2) and 1.306 (2) Å, respectively; for



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of  $ISO^+$ ·CBDC<sup>-</sup>, showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of ISO<sup>+</sup>·CBDC<sup>-</sup>, showing (a) a view in the *ab* plane of the zigzag layers stacking along *a*, and (b) one layer in the *bc* plane described by the combination of graph-set symbols  $R_3^3(14)$ ,  $R_6^6(30)$  and  $R_4^2(18)$ .

the carboxylate group, the C8–O5 and C8–O6 bond lengths are both 1.257 (2) Å]. A restricted search of the CSD (*R* factor less than 0.05) showed five multicomponent crystal structures displaying CBDC<sup>–</sup> as a semicarboxylate ion [*viz.* with imidazolium (CSD refcode EQUXOD; Ballabh *et al.*, 2003), benzimidazolium (EQUXUJ; Ballabh *et al.*, 2003), dibenzylammonium (MEFRAR; Trivedi *et al.*, 2006), 2-phenylimidazolium (VARHIG; Trivedi *et al.*, 2003) and 1butanaminium (TOKSUI; Ballabh *et al.*, 2008)]. On the other hand, for ISO<sup>+</sup>, the C1–O2 and C1–O1 bond lengths are 1.301 (2) and 1.201 (3) Å, respectively. This finding shows that the amino acid is not a zwitterion but a positive ion.

The piperidinium ring in the ISO<sup>+</sup> cation of (I) adopts the most stable chair conformation (Cremer & Pople, 1975). The orientation of the –COOH group in ISO<sup>+</sup> is axial (Luger & Bülow, 1983), contrasting with the 11 ISO structures found in a restricted search of the CSD (*R* factor less than 0.05), in which the orientation is equatorial. The torsion angles O1-C1-C2-





(a) A homomeric CBDC chain, linked by  $O4-H4\cdots O5^{iv}$  hydrogen bonds, with the graph-set symbol C(6), displaying the cyclobutane rings oriented towards the same side of the chain. (b) The double head-to-tail structure, graph-set symbol  $R_4^2(18)$ , formed by two ISO<sup>+</sup> cations and two CBDC<sup>-</sup> anions. It is similar to that observed in (c) cis-4-ammoniocyclohexanecarboxylate hemihydrate (Ávila *et al.*, 2004). Owing to the flexibility of the pendant <sup>+</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> group, direct interaction of the amino acid molecules is allowed, while in ISO<sup>+</sup>·CBDC<sup>-</sup>, the amino acid requires two bridging CBDC<sup>-</sup> anions to form the  $R_4^2(18)$  ring. [Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (iii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) x,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (v) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (vi)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ , -z + 2.]

C6 and O2-C1-C2-C3 are 3.6 (3) and 60.7 (2)°, respectively. These torsion angles vary significantly in all the reported structures. Mora *et al.* (2005) attributed this effect to the required rotation of this group to optimize the formation of hydrogen bonds with neighbouring molecules. The cyclobutane ring of the CBDC<sup>-</sup> anion of (I) is slightly puckered, with an internal torsion angle C12-C9-C10-C11 of 17.1 (2)°.

Table 1 gives the geometry of all the relevant hydrogen bonds observed in (I). Fig. 2(a) shows how the crystal structure packs along a in the form of zigzag layers, as viewed in the ab plane, also seen in the structure of the diacidic form of CBDC (CBUTCA01; Santarsiero, 1990). Fig. 2(b) shows one of the layers viewed in the *bc* plane, which can be described by a combination of graph-set symbols (Bernstein et al., 1995). (i) Wave-like ribbons described by the second-order graph-set symbol  $R_3^3(14)$  are related by c-glide planes and run alternately in opposite directions along c; these ribbons are formed by double chains of molecules, one of intercalated ... ISO-CBDC-CBDC-ISO··· chains described by the graph-set symbol  $C_3^3(10)$  and one consisting of only CBDC chains described by the graph-set symbol C(6). (ii) These ribbons are linked through ISO<sup>+</sup> cations related to each other by inversion centres, forming two rings with second-order graph-set symbols  $R_6^6(30)$  and  $R_4^2(18)$ . Fig. 3(a) shows how, in the homomeric CBDC chains, graph-set symbol C(6), the cyclobutane ring is oriented towards the same side; this contrasts with the previously reported CBDC multicomponent crystals, which all have an alternating orientation of the cyclobutane ring in these chains [EQUXOD (Ballabh et al., 2003), VARHIG (Trivedi et al., 2003) and MEFRAR (Trivedi et al., 2006)]. The ring described by graph-set symbol  $R_4^2(18)$  forms a double head-to-tail structure (Fig. 3b), which has been observed in other amino acids (Ávila et al., 2004); for cis-4aminocyclohexanecarboxylic acid, the terminal amino group hangs outside the cyclohexane ring, providing additional flexibility to this group and allowing its linking through hydrogen bonds to another amino acid unit to form the double head-to-tail structure shown in Fig. 3(c). In contrast, the amino group in (I) does not have such flexibility because the N atom is incorporated in the pipridinium ring, thus requiring the help of two CBDC<sup>-</sup> anions to form the  $R_4^2(18)$  ring.

### **Experimental**

The multicomponent title crystal was prepared by mixing piperidine-2-carboxylic acid (0.0809 g, Aldrich, 98%) and cyclobutane-1,1dicarboxylic acid (0.0702 g, Aldrich, 99.8%) in a 1:1 molar ratio. The reagents were ground separately with an agate pestle and mortar, and dissolved in ethanol (5 ml). The two solutions were mixed and placed in a reflux system for a period of 3 h at a constant temperature of 343 K. Colourless lamellar crystals of (I) of approximately 0.9  $\times$ 1.2 mm were obtained by slow evaporation of the reflux solution.

Thermal analysis of (I) was performed using a Perkin-Elmer TGA7 coupled with a DSC console. Samples were heated from 298 to 673 K at a rate of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup> under a nitrogen flux of 100 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 1		_	
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N1-H1A\cdots O6^{i}$	0.90	1.96	2.816 (2)	158
$N1 - H1B \cdots O3^{ii}$	0.90	2.01	2.899 (2)	169
$O2-H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O6^{iii}$	0.82	1.82	2.627 (2)	170
$O4-H4\cdots O5^{iv}$	0.82	1.74	2.522 (2)	159

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii)  $x + 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ 

The sample consisted of a mixture of pure CBDC (m.p. 467.5 K) and the multicomponent ISO<sup>+</sup>·CBDC<sup>-</sup> crystal (m.p. 555.3 K); no trace of pure ISO (m.p. 570.5 K) was found.

Crystal data

S = 1.04

2561 reflections

er jotat attita	
$C_{6}H_{12}NO_{2}^{+} \cdot C_{6}H_{7}O_{4}^{-}$ $M_{r} = 273.28$ Monoclinic, $P_{2_{1}}/c$ a = 7.027 (2) Å b = 20.776 (5) Å c = 8.949 (2) Å $\beta = 91.06 (1)^{\circ}$	V = 1306.2 (6) Å <sup>3</sup> Z = 4 Mo K $\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293  K $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Rigaku AFC-7S Mercury diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (REQAB; Jacobson, 1998) $T_{min} = 0.970, T_{max} = 0.986$	14962 measured reflections 2561 independent reflections 2026 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.037$
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$ wR(F^2) = 0.139 S = 1.04 2561 reflections	173 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model, with C-H = 0.97 Å, N-H = 0.90 Å and O-H = 0.82 Å, and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$  or  $1.2U_{eq}(O)$ . Each hydroxy H atom was placed in the position that was coplanar with the other carboxylic acid atoms and had the nearest potential hydrogen bond acceptor N or O atom (AFIX 83 instruction; Sheldrick, 2008). The low completeness ratio is due to the experimental set-up, whereby the equipment has a  $\chi$  circle and an added area detector (four-circle diffractometer modified with a CCD detector). This precludes the collection of some regions of reciprocal-lattice space and lowers the completeness. In order to compensate, additional redundant data were measured.

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2000); cell refinement: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC, 2004); data reduction: Crystal-Structure; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR2008 (Burla et al., 2007); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97, PLATON (Spek, 2009) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

This work was supported by CDCHTA-ULA (grant No. C-1615-08-08-B) and FONACIT (grant No. LAB-97000821).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SF3160). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Aakeröy, C. B., Beatty, A. M. & Helfrich, B. A. (2001). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 40, 3240-3242.
- Aakeröy, C. B., Desper, J. & Scott, B. M. T. (2006). Chem. Commun. pp. 1445-1447.
- Adams, C. J., Crawford, P. C., Orpen, A. G. & Podesta, T. J. (2006). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 4078-4092.
- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.

Ávila, E. E., Mora, A. J., Delgado, G. E., Ramírez, B. M., Bahsas, A. & Koteich, S. (2004). Acta Cryst. C60, 0759-0761.

- Ballabh, A., Adalder, T. K. & Dastidar, P. (2008). Cryst. Growth Des. 8, 4144–4149.
- Ballabh, A., Trivedi, D. R. & Dastidar, P. (2003). Chem. Mater. 15, 2136–2140.Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Blagden, N., Matas, M., Gavan, P. & York, P. (2007). Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 59, 617-630.
- Brandenburg, K. (1998). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., De Caro, L., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G., Siliqi, D. & Spagna, R. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 609–613.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Delgado, G., Mora, A. J. & Bahsas, A. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 965-967.
- Jacobson, R. (1998). REQAB. Private communication to Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Jones, H. P. & Davey, R. J. (2005). J. Chem. Phys. 109, 5273-5278.
- Lemmerer, A., Báthori, N. B. & Bourne, S. A. (2008). Acta Cryst. B64, 780-790.
- Luger, P. & Bülow, R. (1983). J. Appl. Cryst. 16, 431-432.

- Mora, A. J., Avila, E. E., Delgado, G. E., Fitch, A. N. & Brunelli, M. (2005). Acta Cryst. B61, 96–102.
- Morissette, S. L., Almarsson, Ö., Peterson, M., Remenar, R., Read, M. & Lemmo, A. (2004). Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 56, 275–300.
- Rigaku (2000). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC (2004). CrystalStructure. Rigaku/MSC, The Woodlands, Texas,
- Rogowska, P., Cyrański, M. K., Sporzyński, A. & Ciesielski, A. (2006). Tetrahedron Lett. 47, 1389–1393.
- Santarsiero, B. D. (1990). J. Chem. Phys. 92, 3794-3797.
- Shan, N. & Zaworotko, M. (2008). Drug Discov. Today, 13, 440-446.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Tiekink, E. R. & Vittal, J. J. (2006). Editors. Frontiers in Crystal Engineering, pp. 26–27. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Trask, A., Motherwell, W. & Jones, W. (2005). Cryst. Growth Des. 5, 1013-1021.
- Trivedi, R., Ballabh, A. & Dastidar, P. (2003). CrystEngComm, 5, 358-367.
- Trivedi, D. R., Ballabh, A. & Dastidar, P. (2006). Cryst. Growth Des. 6, 763-768.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.